

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Power Sources 148 (2005) 54

POWER SOURCES

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Comment on "A one dimensional model of a methanol fuel cell anode" [K. Scott, P. Argyropoulos, J. Power Sources 137 (2004) 228]

Discussion

A.A. Kulikovsky^{*,1}

Research Center "Juelich", Institute for Materials and Processes in Energy Systems (IWV-3), D-52428 Juelich, Germany

Received 28 October 2004; accepted 12 January 2005 Available online 26 February 2005

The paper by Scott and Argyropoulos deals with the analytical model of the anode side of a direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC). The authors consider two expressions for the rate of methanol oxidation j

$$j = \frac{6Fk_{10}C_{\rm M}\,\exp(\beta\eta)}{1 + k_{20}C_{\rm M}\,\exp(\beta\eta)}\tag{16}$$

and

$$j = \frac{6Fk_{10}C_{\rm M}\,\exp(\beta\eta)}{C_{\rm M} + k_{20}\,\exp(\beta\eta)},\tag{22}$$

which correspond to the two alternative reaction pathways (equation numbers are those in [1]). Here η is local overpotential; for the other designations see [1].

Expressions (16) and (22) are then used to calculate the profile of proton current density (denoted also as j) across the anode catalyst layer. In [1], this problem is formulated as follows (p. 232): "In the catalyst region, the change in ionic current density, i.e. due to proton conductivity is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}j}{\mathrm{d}x} = -aj \tag{33}$$

where, a, is a specific reaction surface area (m^{-1}) ." Linear relation (33) between *j* and dj/dx greatly simplifies solution of the problem [1]. Unfortunately, Eq. (33) is erroneous.

Eqs. (16) and (22) determine the rate of methanol oxidation reaction. Although j in (16) and (22) has dimension

 $A \text{ cm}^{-2}$, this value is *not* a local current density, but the rate of charge production per unit area of the catalyst surface per second. Physically, *j* on the right side of (33) is a scalar.

In contrast, the left side of (33) should contain proton current density, which is a vector. The main reason for the subsequent errors in [1] stems from the mixing of these two different values, which are designated by the same symbol *j* in Eq. (33).

Let proton current density is *i*; the change in *i* with the distance *x* across the catalyst layer is due to the protons generated in electrochemical reaction.² Eq. (33), therefore, should be

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}i}{\mathrm{d}x} = -aj \tag{33a}$$

where *j* is given by (16) or (22), depending on the model of reaction kinetics. Note that no linear relation between *i* and di/dx exists. Eq. (33a) and the Ohm's law $i = -\sigma(d\eta/dx)$ form a system of two equations for two variables: i(x) and $\eta(x)$.³ Accurate solution to this system for the case of *j* (22) is given in [2].

References

[1] K. Scott, P. Argyropoulos, J. Power Sources 137 (2004) 228.

[2] A.A. Kulikovsky, Electrochem. Commun. 5 (2003) 530.

^{*} Tel.: +49 2461 615396; fax: +49 2461 616695.

¹ On leave from Moscow State University, Research Computing Center, 199992 Moscow, Russia.

² Not "*due to proton conductivity*", as stated in [1].

³ Eq. (33) describes exponential profile $j(x) = j_0 \exp(-ax)$ and no other equations are then required "to solve" the problem.

^{0378-7753/\$ -} see front matter © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2005.01.005